



MINUTES

Ordinary Council Meeting

6.00pm Tuesday 17 December 2019

VENUE:
Linton Customer Service Centre
Council Chambers
68 Sussex Street, Linton

NEXT ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
6.00pm Tuesday 25 February 2020

Copies of Golden Plains Shire Council's Agendas & Minutes
Can be obtained online at www.goldenplains.vic.gov.au

Order Of Business

1	Opening Declaration	3
2	Acknowledgement of Country	3
3	Apologies and Leave of Absence	3
4	Confirmation of Minutes	3
5	Declaration of Conflict of Interest	3
6	Public Question Time	4
7	Business Reports for Decision	8
7.1	Assembly of Councillors	8
7.2	Delegates Report - 27 November 2019 to 16 December 2019	8
7.3	Respect Women: Call it out campaign.....	9
7.4	P19-014 Three lot subdivision at 8 Merino Drive, Teesdale.....	9
7.5	Play Space Strategy 2019-2029 - Adoption.....	10
7.6	Naming Proposal for Teesdale Tip Road Renaming.....	11
7.7	G21 Geelong Region Alliance - Memorandum of Understanding	11
7.8	Public Interest Disclosure Policy	12
7.9	Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Policy	13
7.10	Line Marking Services - Panel of Suppliers (GPSC - RFT 14/2019) - Awarding of tender.....	14
8	Notices of Motion	14
	Nil	
9	Petitions	14
	Nil	
10	Confidential Reports for Decision	14
10.1	Australia Day Awards 2020	15
10.2	Strategic Land Acquisition.....	15
10.3	Bannockburn Children's Service Long Day Care 2020 Service Provision.....	15

**MINUTES OF GOLDEN PLAINS SHIRE COUNCIL
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AT THE LINTON CUSTOMER SERVICE CENTRE, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 68 SUSSEX
STREET, LINTON
ON TUESDAY, 17 DECEMBER 2019 AT 6.00PM**

PRESENT: Cr Helena Kirby, Cr David Evans, Cr Joanne Gilbert, Cr Nathan Hansford, Cr Des Phelan, Cr Les Rowe, Cr Owen Sharkey (Mayor)

IN ATTENDANCE: Eric Braslis (CEO), Steven Sagona (Acting Director Assets and Amenity), Philippa O'Sullivan (Director Corporate Services), Lisa Letic (Director Community Services), Candice Robinson (Corporate Governance and Risk Coordinator)

1 OPENING DECLARATION

We the Councillors of Golden Plains Shire declare that we will undertake, on every occasion, to carry out our duties in the best interest of the community and that our conduct shall maintain the standards of the code of good governance so that we may faithfully represent and uphold the trust placed in this Council by the people of Golden Plains Shire

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

Council acknowledge the traditional Wadawurrung owners of the land where we meet today. Council pays its respects to Wadawurrung Elders both past and present and extends that respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People who are part of Golden Plains Shire.

3 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

Cr Gilbert arrived at 6.07pm.

4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Des Phelan

Seconded: Cr Les Rowe

That the minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 26 November 2019 be confirmed.

CARRIED

5 DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil.

6 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

JOE STASSE

Question 1

The continuation of Adair St behind York St in Linton southern end is overgrown with weeds in particular gauze which is currently standing at 2mt in height and has not been maintained for a number of years. Also there seems to be an abundance of weeds namely blackberry and ivy growing within and adjacent the Standard Gully creek and ivy along the roadside opposite Mannibader Rd/Glenelg Hwy, these are not isolated occurrences within the Linton township. When is the council going to address these problems? Better still, will the shire be more proactive in dealing with weed eradication in the northern part of the shire?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR PLANNING & INFRASTRUCTURE)

Mowing of the gorse at the southern end of Adair St was programmed prior to receiving this query. The site is difficult to access due to the presence of an old mullock heap and so Council will use a reach arm mower to try to remove as much of the Gorse and Cape Broom as possible. The Environment and Sustainability Team will then arrange for contractors to spray any regrowth. This area was completely overgrown with weeds and inaccessible a number of years ago, but Council has progressively removed the bulk of the weeds and checks on the site every year to assess it for further works. Council has an extensive program of weed control on Council owned or managed land across Golden Plains. In the north the focus is on Gorse, Blackberry, Hawthorn and Broom species on Council reserves and roadsides.

Question 2

On Sunday 8 Dec, a volunteer organisation was seen tidying up the medium strip within the centre of town, I pulled over and discussed the situation with a couple of the members of this organisation in particular the safety issues and secondly why they were doing the works and not council. Return answer was that it is a VicRoads responsibility not council. After a discussion with VicRoads I find that it is indeed a VicRoads responsibility. The situation with the medium strip has been an ongoing eyesore problem for a very long time and reflects badly visually on the town as a whole, why has the council not followed this up with VicRoads to get a maintenance program for the medium strip? Possibly come into an agreement with VicRoads to do the maintenance.

This same question can be asked for other medium strips within the shire where the road from gutter to gutter is a VicRoads responsibility.

Full credit to the group that was doing the maintenance but it should never had gotten to the point where volunteers needed to do something about it and put themselves in such a dangerous position.

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

Council regularly liaises with Regional Roads Victoria (formerly Vicroads) about maintenance issues, including township median strip maintenance. Although not a Council asset, we do as part of our maintenance programs regularly spray weeds on these median strips and will continue to do so as required. The Beautify Bannockburn Group and the Bannockburn Community Coordinators provide an invaluable service to the Bannockburn community through volunteering, ongoing work within Bannockburn and by providing feedback on assets and potential future improvement projects. Councils thanks them for this contribution.

RACHAEL GAUCI

Question 1

In April it was announced through the media that \$300K of \$600 allocated had been given yet there is nothing that can be found in the financials of council minutes. If the council have allocated the money and it has gone to tender then what is going to be happening with the \$300K of the \$600K allocated? Has this gone towards another way for residents to get out in case of an emergency? Is there a new bridge or location being proposed as an alternative?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

At the Ordinary Council meeting on 24 September 2019, Council resolved to reallocate \$300k from the Golden Lake Rd bridge project to other projects and programs. Specifically, \$200,000 was allocated to the Franklin Bridge Replacement project and \$100,000 was allocated and carried over to the 2020/21 resealing program.

Question 2

This bridge has been a cause for discussion for some time, yet we are now in December and nothing has been done to commence work on this bridge as an alternative escape path. Would council like a repeat of what has happened in NSW to happen in their own jurisdiction, putting humans and livestock at risk without adequate and cleared roads to leave?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

Council works closely with the CFA and each year provides maps of load limited bridges to assist the CFA's planning. When the bridge is closed, in the event of an emergency, it is envisaged that residents will proceed in a southerly direction for 1.4kms towards Linton-Piggoreet Road. Many roads in this area are tree lined and in fire events, any tree can come down and block a road. As per CFA advice, it is preferable for residents to leave early.

CARL OBERHAUSER

Question 1

Has the council been asked by anyone from the local community to close the bridge?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

No, Council has not received a community request for the bridge to be closed.

Question 2

If the money has been awarded by the government and you have gone to tender and it has been closed then how can local council look to close the bridge rather than make the repairs?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

Council determined at its 27 August 2019 meeting to not proceed with the Golden Lake Road bridge replacement project on the grounds that the submitted tenders were significantly in excess of the available budget for the project.

MARK NOONAN

Question 1

Council's report to the August meeting highlighted Golden Lake Road Bridge as one of two highest priority bridges to undergo works and considering Council has made significant road improvements to Golden Lake Road, why then is Council considering closing the road?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

The bridge was one of two highest priority bridges that satisfied the Federal Government's Bridge Renewal Program funding criteria - being a timber structure. As per the previous answer, Council determined in August not to proceed with the bridge replacement project. Once the bridge is closed, the road will become redundant for access purposes and will therefore be closed to through traffic.

Question 2

If an assessment of the bridge or an engineers report has been done, can a copy be provided to the public or at this meeting?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

Whilst it is not Council's normal practice to make asset condition reports publically available, I can provide the following summary. A level 2 bridge inspection report was prepared in October 2018 by an independent consulting engineer who found that the bridge was in poor condition, warranted the existing 5 tonne load limit, and recommended the replacement of the structure. Some general maintenance items were also identified in the report and have been scheduled in line with current maintenance practices and available budget, but none of these will ultimately assist the fundamental structural integrity of the bridge.

IAN GETSOM

Question 1

The road acts as part of a boundary with Smythesdale, Linton and Cape Clear CFA stations. How can access be closed in case of an emergency?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

I refer to my answer to Ms Gauci's second question; that Council works closely with the CFA and supports the CFA's planning. In the event of an emergency, it is envisaged that residents would proceed towards Linton-Piggoreet Road once the bridge is closed.

Question 2

Since the 1960's the bridge has been used in emergency situations and is a recognised route for Fire, Ambulance and Police. How can Council recommend to close an access for these services?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

The Golden Lake Road Bridge has been load limited for a significant period of time to most vehicles other than passenger vehicles. Council has decided to permanently close the bridge to through traffic once it is no longer safe for any motorised vehicles.

SANDRA NOONAN

Question 1

The Piggoreet region is not only used by residents and ratepayers but has frequent visitors to its historical, cultural and environmental significance as well as sporting associations. Why is Council considering restricting access to the public lands by considering closure of Golden Lake Road?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

The reason for the proposed closure of the road relates to Council's decision at its August 2019 meeting to not proceed with the bridge replacement project due to the project cost.

Question 2

How can Council go from Requests to tenders to closure of significant public space within months? Please explain your process/policies.

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

In any tender process, Council must assess the submitted tenders against a range of criteria including the tendered project cost. If the project cost is significantly greater than the available budget, or if there are any other factors which are of sufficient concern to Council following the tender assessment of tenders, Council can decide not to proceed with the project and, as it has done in this case, to reallocate the funds to other projects and programs.

BRUCE MCDONALD

Question 1

My question here tonight relating to Q Fever is "Does Golden Plains Shire intend to advocate for the residents of Inverleigh, and put in an objection against this proposed facility to the Surf Coast Shire?"

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

Council is advocating for residents of Inverleigh in relation to the proposed intensive sheep farm in Surf Coast Shire. Yesterday the Mayor, Cr Sharkey, wrote to the Mayor of Surf Coast, Cr Hodge, to outline a series of concerns that have been expressed by members of the Inverleigh community. The letter conveyed community members' concerns about the possible spread of disease, odour, water availability for other local businesses and stormwater runoff from the site. The letter also requested that Surf Coast Shire give due weight to the objections that have come from Golden Plains residents, even though they are not Surf Coast Shire ratepayers. Cr Sharkey has also followed up his letter with a phone call to Cr Hodge. I will address the second part of the question regarding a formal objection in the answer to the next question from Mr McDonald.

Question 2

If the answer to Question 1 is yes, in what form and time line will Golden Plains Shire object to this proposed facility with objections still being able to be lodged with the Surf Coast Shire up until early January 2020?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

As a general principle, Council does not formally object to planning applications in other municipalities. There are a number of reasons for this, including that the Planning and Environment Act provides for objections by any "person" (rather than any organisation), and to protect its own integrity as a Responsible Authority under the Planning and Environment Act by not coming to a formal position on any application, albeit one in another municipality, without the benefit of all of the information and a full officer assessment.

DARREN AITKEN

Question 1

My question is regarding the planning proposal number 19/0110 lodged in the Surf Coast Shire.

The proposal indicates that an open air composting facility is to be used for material from sheds as well as carcasses from dead animals from the site. Considering the limited water on site I believe the water required to make compost to bring it to a temperature to deal with some pathogens and bacteria is not sufficient. Nor is the quantity of water sufficient for constant dust suppression. Considering the predominating wind direction and proximity to the township of Inverleigh this composting site poses a great risk to the health of the residents of the town. Those that consume rainwater from tanks are also at risk via contaminated dust on rooves being washed into water supplies. There is also risk of contamination to the town and to land surrounding the town, some of which is certified organic. The planning proposal 19/0110 has not adequately addressed these issues.

Considering that the Golden Plains Shire has a duty of care to its residents and visitors to the shire, and a duty of care to manage its business assets, of which Inverleigh is one, will the Golden Plains Shire uphold its duty of care and lodge a formal objection to the planning proposal 19/0110?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

As per the previous answer, Council does not intend to lodge a formal objection, but has been advocating on behalf of the community.

Question 2

If the above mentioned project ends up via due process at VCAT, will the Golden Plains Shire assist the residents of Inverleigh with the VCAT process?

Response (ACTING DIRECTOR ASSETS AND AMENITY)

If the matter proceeds to VCAT and a request is made for Council to assist the community, Council would need to consider the request having regard to the nature of the assistance being sought.

7 BUSINESS REPORTS FOR DECISION

7.1 ASSEMBLY OF COUNCILLORS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To present Council with written records of Assembly of Councillors in accordance with section 80A of the Local Government Act 1989 from 27 November 2019 to 16 December 2019

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr David Evans

Seconded: Cr Nathan Hansford

That Council notes the Assembly of Councillors Record from 27 November 2019 to 16 December 2019 as attached.

CARRIED

7.2 DELEGATES REPORT - 27 NOVEMBER 2019 TO 16 DECEMBER 2019

Cr Owen Sharkey

28 November	Presentation from students involved in the Equine Youth Leadership Project
28 November	2019 Annual General Meeting Committee of Geelong Sustainability
29 November	G21 Board Meeting
04 December	RDV Meetin
06 December	BBWF CEC Meeting
09 December	TGB Board Meeting
10 December	Councillor Briefing Meeting
11 December	Sport and Active Recreation Strategy 2020-2030 - Initial Community Reference Group Meeting
12 December	Equine Youth Leadership Project Presentation
13 December	PUGRC Mayor and CEO Forum
13 December	Student Award Presentation – Teesdale Primary
16 December	St Mary MacKillop Graduation Ceremony

All other Councillors gave a verbal update on their attendances of various Council and community functions over the month.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Nathan Hansford

Seconded: Cr Joanne Gilbert

That Council receive and note the Delegates Report – 27 November 2019 to 16 December 2019

CARRIED

7.3 RESPECT WOMEN: CALL IT OUT CAMPAIGN

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To provide Councillors with information about the Respect Women Call it out Campaign conducted between 25 November and 10 December 2019.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Joanne Gilbert

Seconded: Cr Nathan Hansford

That Council receive and note Golden Plains Shires involvement in the Respect Women: Call it out Campaign.

CARRIED

7.4 P19-014 THREE LOT SUBDIVISION AT 8 MERINO DRIVE, TEESDALE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report relates to a planning permit application for the development of land for the purposes of a three (3) lot subdivision at 8 Merino Road, Teesdale. The application has been referred to the Council meeting for determination because there are objections to the application. This report provides background to the application and a summary of the relevant planning considerations.

The application was referred to Council's Works department for the consideration of drainage matters. Works did not object to the proposal and have also recommended conditions to address drainage and access. Works are required to be undertaken in accordance with Council's Infrastructure Design Manual (IDM).

Speakers

Debbie Smith (Objector) (not in attendance - read by proxy)

Chris Marshall (Applicant)

Brian O'Shannassy (For)

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Des Phelan

Seconded: Cr Joanne Gilbert

That Council issue a Notice of Decision to Grant a Planning Permit for the development of a three (3) lot subdivision at 8 Merino Drive, Teesdale, subject to the conditions as attached.

CARRIED

7.5 PLAY SPACE STRATEGY 2019-2029 - ADOPTION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council commissioned '@Leisure Planners' to develop a '*Play Space Strategy*' to inform its planning and delivery of outdoor play infrastructure for the period 2019 – 2029.

The draft strategy provides a framework for Council's future management of play spaces giving attention to the provision of high quality play spaces with increased play value. It also recognises that Council has limited resources and capacity to sustain the current level of service provision and maintenance and therefore recommends that whilst some play spaces are enhanced others cannot be maintained without significant additional investment.

A key strategic outcome of the draft strategy is to enhance the play value of some sites to include inclusive play environments where families of all abilities can socialise and play together. The following townships are identified for play upgrades Linton, Dereel, Smythesdale, Ross Creek, Meredith, Napoleons and Lethbridge.

In August, Council endorsed the draft Play Space Strategy for public exhibition for a period of 4 weeks from 28 August to 25 September 2019, giving the community and opportunity to contribute to the draft Strategy.

48 unique submissions or other related feedback have been received during this time, with several submissions representing larger groups. Further community feedback was received following the November Council briefing regarding 3 play spaces recommended for decommission, being Berringa and Shelford Recreation Reserves and Sutherlands Creek Tennis Club.

The Strategic recommendations within the Play Space Strategy 2019-2029 are important for Council to ensure its future provision and maintenance of play spaces align with the needs of the community, whilst being realistic, sustainable and achievable. A separate plan is provided at attachment 3 for sites requiring replacement or removal.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Joanne Gilbert

Seconded: Cr Des Phelan

That Council:

1. Note the 48 unique public submissions received, inclusive of submissions made on behalf of community groups in response to the public exhibition of the Draft Strategy.
2. Adopt the final Play Space Strategy 2019-2029 and refer \$300,000 to the draft 2020/21 budget.
3. Endorse the list of townships identified for play space upgrades: Linton, Dereel, Smythesdale, Ross Creek, Meredith, Napoleons and Lethbridge.
4. Adopt the recommended *Play Space Replacement or Redevelopment plan* Attachment 3 in relation to the following Play spaces: Bannockburn Dalcrui Drive, Berringa, Corindhap, Inverleigh Lawson's Park, Inverleigh Tennis Club, Maude Tennis Courts, Murgheboluc, Scarsdale, Smythesdale Gardens, Sutherland's Creek Tennis Courts and Teesdale.

CARRIED

7.6 NAMING PROPOSAL FOR TEESDALE TIP ROAD RENAMING

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council received a request from a member of the community (the submitter) to rename 'Teesdale Tip Road' in Teesdale from where it commences at Bannockburn-Shelford Road, Teesdale to where it currently ends at 176 Teesdale Tip Road, Teesdale (see attached map). It was suggested to "use a name more fitting to that area" and make it more appealing to visitors on approach to the town. Following communication with the submitter over the course of the last 3 months the submitter has proposed to rename the road 'Lightwood Road'.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Nathan Hansford

Seconded: Cr Des Phelan

That Council:

1. In accordance with the *Naming Rules for places in Victoria – Statutory Requirements for naming roads, features and localities - 2016* consider the proposed name change of 'Teesdale Tip Road' to 'Lightwood Road'.
2. In accordance with Section 223 of the *Local Government Act 1989* invite public submissions for a minimum period of 30 days in response to the proposed name change.

CARRIED

7.7 G21 GEELONG REGION ALLIANCE - MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council's approval is sought to enter into a four year Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Golden Plains Shire Council (as one of the five member Local Government Authorities) with the G21 Geelong Region Alliance (G21). A copy of the draft Memorandum of Understanding is attached.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Des Phelan

Seconded: Cr Nathan Hansford

That Council:

1. Enter into the Memorandum of Understanding with G21 for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2024.
2. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to sign the Memorandum of Understanding between the G21 Geelong Region Alliance and Golden Plains Shire Council for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2024.

CARRIED

7.8 PUBLIC INTEREST DISCLOSURE POLICY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Public Interest Disclosures Act 2012 (previously named the Protected Disclosure Act 2012) (the Act) specifies that agencies who receive public interest disclosures and complaints must adopt and maintain policies and procedures in relation to the handling and management of those disclosures and complaints.

The Protected Disclosures Policy was last reviewed and adopted by Council at its meeting held on 22 August 2017. Whilst the current policy is not due for review until August 2021, legislated changes under the Public Interest Disclosures Act 2012 are due to commence on 1 January 2020 and have initiated an early review of the policy. Changes are proposed to ensure adherence to legislative requirements and demonstrate Councils commitment to the appropriate handling and management of public interest disclosures and complaints. Changes of significance include, but are not limited to:

- the terms 'protected disclosure' and 'protected disclosure complaint' replaced with 'public interest disclosure' and 'public interest complaint' to align with references in the Act;
- clearly defining the types of disclosures and complaints;
- clearly defining processes and procedures to be followed;
- ensuring correct references to legislation and internal procedures; and
- cosmetic changes including re-formatting the policy to bring it into alignment with the approved Policy template.

The policy is now provided for Council's consideration and adoption. Council should adopt the amended policy prior to legislated changes taking effect on 1 January 2020.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr David Evans

Seconded: Cr Des Phelan

That Council adopts the Public Interest Disclosure Policy as attached.

CARRIED

7.9 GIFTS, BENEFITS AND HOSPITALITY POLICY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Policy was presented to the Council at its 26 November 2019 Council meeting, however at that time Council did not adopt the proposed policy. Since that time, the policy has been updated to reflect changes discussed, mainly relating to the whether the purchase of alcohol using Council funds at external events should not be permitted. This inclusion will strengthen the previous version which stated that the purchase of alcohol using Council funds was not permitted for internal events only. It should be noted that that the ability to consume what is considered a civic and reasonable amount of alcohol may still be permitted but is required to be purchased by the individual.

Each public sector organisation is required to develop and implement a gifts, benefits and hospitality framework and policy. A review of the Golden Plains Shire Council gifts, benefits and hospitality framework and policy has recently been undertaken. The review encouraged the current Policy to be re-modelled in accordance with the Victorian Public Sector Commission's (VPSC) Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality – Policy Guide and the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality – Model Policy. Whilst it is not mandated for councils to adopt the DELWP model policy, Council has an opportunity to adopt a policy that is in line with contemporary public sector best practice guidelines and community expectations of public officials.

Currently Council's framework encompasses an Organisational Policy applicable only to staff and separate provisions for the Mayor and Councillors in the Councillor Code of Conduct. It is now recommended that Council adopt a clear position in relation to the acceptance and provision of gifts benefits and hospitality and a Council Policy document that is applicable to both Councillors and staff. Proposed changes of significance include, but are not limited to:

- clearly defining the types of gifts, benefits and hospitality;
- increasing declaration requirements;
- changes to the provision of catering and alcohol, specifically, no alcohol to be purchased with Council funds at internal and external events; and
- publish a public version of the gifts, benefits and hospitality register on Council's website.

The Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Policy was presented to Audit and Risk Committee at its 10 September 2019 meeting. The committee recommended that following presentation to the Staff Consultative Committee in early November 2019 that Council consider and adopt the policy at its 26 November 2019 Council meeting. At that meeting Council did not adopt the proposed policy. The policy has since been updated to reflect changes discussed and is now further presented.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Des Phelan

Seconded: Cr Les Rowe

That Council adopt the Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Policy as attached.

CARRIED

Cr David Evans called a division.

Those In Favour: Crs Joanne Gilbert, Nathan Hansford, Des Phelan, Les Rowe and Owen Sharkey

Those Against: Crs Helena Kirby and David Evans

The motion was Carried 5/2

7.10 LINE MARKING SERVICES - PANEL OF SUPPLIERS (GPSC - RFT 14/2019) - AWARDING OF TENDER

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Golden Plains Shire Council wishes to engage suitably qualified experienced providers for the provision of Line marking services in accordance with the requirements of this specification. The broad objectives of the contract are to deliver:

- Line marking services for the Golden Plains Shire Council, emphasising continuous quality improvement; and
- Cost effective, reliable and efficient Line marking services which comply with Government Acts, regulations, local laws and policies.

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Les Rowe

Seconded: Cr Nathan Hansford

That Council:

1. Award contract GPS-RFT 14/2019, Panel of Suppliers for the supply and delivery of Line Marking Service to the following suppliers for the tendered schedule of rates for the initial contract term of three years with a two year extension option.
 - (a) Southern Highway Services
 - (b) Summerhill Maintenance Services Pty.Ltd.
 - (c) Smith & Wil Asphaltting Pty.Ltd.
2. Delegate to the Chief Executive Officer or his delegate authority to execute the associated Contract on behalf of Council.
3. Delegate to the Chief Executive Officer or his delegate authority to extend the GPS-RFT 14/2019 Panel of Suppliers – Line Marking contract at the end of the initial contract period for a further two years.

CARRIED

8 NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil

9 PETITIONS

Nil

10 CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS FOR DECISION

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Nathan Hansford

Seconded: Cr Les Rowe

That Council considers the confidential report(s) listed below in a meeting closed to the public in accordance with Section 89(2) of the Local Government Act 1989:

10.1 AUSTRALIA DAY AWARDS 2020

This matter is considered to be confidential under Section 89(2) – h of the Local Government Act, and the Council is satisfied that discussion of this matter in an open meeting would, on balance be contrary to the public interest as it deals with matter which the Council or special committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person.

10.2 STRATEGIC LAND ACQUISITION

This matter is considered to be confidential under Section 89(2) – d of the Local Government Act, and the Council is satisfied that discussion of this matter in an open meeting would, on balance be contrary to the public interest as it deals with contractual matters.

10.3 BANNOCKBURN CHILDREN'S SERVICE LONG DAY CARE 2020 SERVICE PROVISION

This matter is considered to be confidential under Section 89(2) – c of the Local Government Act, and the Council is satisfied that discussion of this matter in an open meeting would, on balance be contrary to the public interest as it deals with industrial matters.

CARRIED

RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr Les Rowe
 Seconded: Cr Nathan Hansford

That Council moves out of Closed Council into Open Council.

CARRIED

The Meeting closed at 8.30pm.

The minutes of this meeting were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 25 February 2020.

.....
CHAIRPERSON